



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/668,021      | 09/21/2000  | Mary E. Brunkow      | 240083.508D2        | 1599             |

7590 10/17/2002

Gary M Myles PH D  
Seed Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC  
Suite 6300  
701 Fifth Avenue  
Seattle, WA 98104-7092

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

NOLAN, PATRICK J

[REDACTED] ART UNIT


[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

1644

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |                                      |                                       |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Advisory Action</b>   | Application No.<br><b>09/668,021</b> | Applicant(s)<br><b>Brunkow et al.</b> |
|  | Examiner<br><b>Patrick J. Nolan</b>  | Art Unit<br><b>1644</b>               |
| <b>-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</b>   |                                      |                                       |
| <p>THE REPLY FILED <u>Sep 20, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.</p>   |                                      |                                       |
| <b>THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]</b>  |                                      |                                       |
| <p>a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</p> <p>b) <input type="checkbox"/> The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).</p>   |                                      |                                       |
| <p>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</p>  |                                      |                                       |
| <p>1. <input type="checkbox"/> A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</p> <p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) <input type="checkbox"/> they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) <input type="checkbox"/> they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) <input type="checkbox"/> they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) <input type="checkbox"/> they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li> </ul> |                                      |                                       |
| <p>NOTE: _____</p>   |                                      |                                       |
| <p>3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):<br/> <u>The 112 1st paragraph written description rejection.</u></p>  |                                      |                                       |
| <p>4. <input type="checkbox"/> Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</p>  |                                      |                                       |
| <p>5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The a) <input type="checkbox"/> affidavit, b) <input type="checkbox"/> exhibit, or c) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:<br/> <u>The 35 USC 102(b) rejection over all of the claims stands because the scope of Applicant's claims is not limited to SEQ ID NOS 1,5,9, 11 and 13 but to polynucleotides which hybridize, therefore the antibodies of record would bind.</u></p>  |                                      |                                       |
| <p>6. <input type="checkbox"/> The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</p>   |                                      |                                       |
| <p>7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> will not be entered or b) <input type="checkbox"/> will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.</p> <p>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</p>   |                                      |                                       |
| <p>Claim(s) allowed: _____</p>   |                                      |                                       |
| <p>Claim(s) objected to: _____</p>   |                                      |                                       |
| <p>Claim(s) rejected: <u>94-103</u></p>  |                                      |                                       |
| <p>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____</p>  |                                      |                                       |
| <p>8. <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) <input type="checkbox"/> approved or b) <input type="checkbox"/> disapproved by the Examiner.</p>  |                                      |                                       |
| <p>9. <input type="checkbox"/> Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>Patent f-NZ</u></p>  |                                      |                                       |
| <p>10. <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p>   |                                      |                                       |
| <br><b>PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D.</b><br><b>PRIMARY EXAMINER</b><br><i>10/16/02</i>  |                                      |                                       |